Scheduling dependent tasks within a smart city's fog/edge infrastructure powered by renewable energy

New Challenges in Scheduling Theory @ Aussois

Miguel Vasconcelos, Postdoc @ IRIT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse INP, UT3, Toulouse,France Georges Da Costa, IRIT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse INP, UT3, Toulouse, France Patricia Stolf, IRIT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse INP, UT3, Toulouse, France May 2024



- Edge nodes with lower latency
- Possible to offload tasks to the cloud
- Renewable energy
 - Low power consumption of edge nodes
- \cdot Smart-cities
 - Applications often require low response time



Figure 1: Cloud-Edge continuum in our context.

- VILAGIL project : improve mobility in Toulouse with smart city approach
- **Opportunistic computing**: Use the computational capacity already present in the city (computers at bus stops, metro stations ...)
- Hosts supplied by renewable energy



Figure 2: Metro computer.



Figure 3: Tramway computer.

Example of user request



How long it takes from moving between the city ?

- Fuel consumed
- \cdot CO₂ emissions
- Costs
- Different modes of transportation

Figure 4: Vieille-Toulouse map.

Example of user request



How long it takes from moving between the city ?

- Fuel consumed
- \cdot CO₂ emissions
- Costs
- Different modes of transportation

Traffic prediction : Essential information

Figure 4: Vieille-Toulouse map.

Modeling a city



The city is represented as a graph of streets

- Edges are the streets
- Vertices are the interconnections between the streets

Figure 5: Street graph of Vieille-Toulouse.

Example of a route



Figure 6: Example of a request.



Figure 7: Tasks for the traffic computation.

Example of a route - Impact of the other streets



Figure 8: Example of one request.

Figure 9: Tasks for the traffic computation. 7/26

Example of a route - Source of the data



- Traffic data is collected from the sensors around the city
- Each bus stop manages the data of the closest streets
- Communication is needed between the tasks to share the data

Figure 10: Example of one request.

Example of a route - Source of the data



Figure 11: Example of one request.



Figure 12: Tasks for the traffic computation. 7/26

- A user request about a path is represented as a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) of tasks
- Each task represents computation for a segment/street (prediction of traffic)
- Each edge/fog node (bus stop) has local information of the streets (nearest streets)

- A user request about a path is represented as a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) of tasks
- Each task represents computation for a segment/street (prediction of traffic)
- Each edge/fog node (bus stop) has local information of the streets (nearest streets)

How to schedule the tasks to the fog/edge infrastructure aiming to reduce response time and non-renewable energy consumption ?

- Unrelated machines (different computing speeds, power consumption ...)
- Dependent tasks (prec), machine-dependent communication speeds (edge-edge, edge-cloud)

Experiments

- Why the opportunistic approach?
 - Comparison between a centralized approach in terms of response time and energy consumption
- How to schedule the workload in the distributed approach?
 - Comparison between different algorithms in terms of response time, energy consumption and renewable energy usage

Experiment design and assumptions

- Computational simulations using the SimGrid framework¹
- Tasks modeling:
 - One tasks uses 100% of one CPU core
 - 0.1 s if executed in edge node; 0.05 s in the cloud
- Network modeling:
 - Flow-level TCP modeling
 - No bandwidth limitations (TCP slow start not considered)
 - Focus in network latency
- Energy consumption:
 - Linear model based on CPU usage
 - Static part (idle) + dynamic part (based on CPU usage)

¹Casanova, Henri, Giersch, Arnaud, Legrand, Arnaud, Quinson, Martin, Suter, Frédéric. Versatile, Scalable, and Accurate Simulation of Distributed Applications and Platforms. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 2014.

Experiment I : Centralized vs distributed

Centralized approach:

- Server with 64 CPU cores
- 66 W when idle; 220 W at 100%

Distributed approach:

- 17 Raspberry PI with 4 CPU cores each
- 2.5 W when idle; 7.3 W at 100%
- (total of 42.5 W when idle, and 124.1 W at 100%)
- Network links latency:
 - 10 ms between edge nodes



Workload



 $\cdot\,$ Inspired by real mobility data 2

• 30000 requests

²Metro SP, Pesquisa Origem e Destino 2017.

Using the distributed version:

- \cdot 35% of energy savings
- $\cdot\,$ Average response time reduced by 40%
 - Tasks executed closer to where the data is produced



Experiment II :Different scheduling algorithms for the distributed approach

Computational infrastructure modeling

- 17 Raspberry PI with 4 CPU cores (fog/edge): 2.5 W when idle; 7.3 W at 100%
- Server with 256 CPU cores (cloud): 66 W when idle; 220 W at 100%
- Network links latency:
 - \cdot 10 ms for edge/fog
 - 100 ms for cloud



Algorithms

Baseline

- Allocate to the bus stop that have its required data
- (less communications)

Heterogeneous Earliest Finish Time (HEFT)³

• Allocate to the host with that will have the earliest finish time (considering computations and communications)

Green Earliest Finish Time (GEFT)

- $\cdot\,$ Allocate to the host that have green energy and the earliest finish time
- inspired in the HEFT algorithm

³Topcuoglu, Haluk; Hariri, Salim; Wu, M. (2002). 'Performance-effective and low-complexity task scheduling for heterogeneous computing". IEEE Transations on Parallel and Distributed Systems. 13 (3): 260–274

Energy modeling

- \cdot Edge hosts have PV panels and batteries, and use grid as backup
- Electricity from the grid is assumed to be carbon intensive, and renewable from the cloud layer
- Solar irradiation values per minute (NASA MERRA-2)³
- Small variation of irradiation between the different hosts (considering a city)



Table 1: Statistics of the request response time (is seconds) by algorithm

Alg.	Mean	Median	90%	95%	99%
Baseline	7.75	1.02	28.15	38.25	56.52
HEFT	0.74	0.73	0.98	0.99	1.07
GEFT	0.82	0.84	1.02	1.04	1.28

Results - Task allocation



Figure 18: Task allocation over time for the baseline algorithm.

Results - Task allocation



Figure 19: Task allocation over time for the HEFT algorithm.

Results - Task allocation



Figure 20: Task allocation over time for the GEFT algorithm.

Table 2: Energy consumption by algorithm

Alg.	Total (Wh)	Non-Renewable (Wh)	Renewable energy usage (%)
Baseline	29.87	5.98	80%
HEFT	30.69	3.99	87%
GEFT	36.08	0.08	99.7%

*Results without Idle time

- Scheduling dependent tasks into a fog/edge infrastructure
- Presence of renewable energy in the hosts
- Improve QoS
- Increase renewable energy usage

Ongoing work



Considering Toulouse

- 1638 hosts (open street map)
 - bus stops
 - \cdot tram stops
 - \cdot metro stations
 - train stations

Ongoing work



Considering Toulouse

- 1638 hosts (open street map)
 - bus stops
 - \cdot tram stops
 - \cdot metro stations
 - train stations
- Requests with more tasks to execute: 6949 tasks in the example

Figure 22: Example of path in Toulouse.

- Execution time of simulations (using a laptop)
 - 2 minutes (centralized, baseline), 8 minutes (GEFT, HEFT) to simulate one day
 - 1 CPU core (possibility to run longer periods of time in parallel)
- Challenges in network modeling :
 - Mobile network modeling, mobility of nodes in space, dynamic latency

- Shutdown idle hosts and manage the workload to the other hosts
- Caching
- Other scheduling strategies
- Information of the climate conditions and users requests in the scheduling decision
- \cdot Adding new servers in the edge layer and the trade-off between costs (\$, $\rm CO_2)$ and QoS

Acknowledgments

- GIS neOCampus of Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier
- This work was carried out within the MaaS action of the VILAGIL project, a project co-financed by Toulouse Métropole and France 2030 as part of the Territoires d'innovation program operated by the Banque des territoires



Figure 23: Funding projects and agencies

Thank you for your attention!

Contact: miguel-felipe.silva-vasconcelos@irit.fr

Scheduling dependent tasks within a smart city's fog/edge infrastructure powered by renewable energy

Miguel Felipe Silva Vasconcelos

Postdoc @ IRIT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse INP, UT3, Toulouse, France